

Summit Adult Vaccine Recommendations Review (SAVRR) Council Meeting

March 10, 2026

Co-chairs: Dr. Bob Hopkins & Dr. Jane R. Zucker
Discussion Facilitator: L.J Tan, Co-Chair, NAIS



Agenda

- Opening statement
- ACP presentation on their vaccination recommendations- TBD
- KFF consumer presentation- Liz Hamel
- Vaccine Integrity Project update- Sherri Berger, Kevin Griffis, Clare Stoddart, and Angela Ulrich
- Discuss results of member survey, including consumer representatives and transparency plan
- Discuss how organizations are making vaccination recommendations for the fall, timelines, messaging, and how best to coordinate
- Other items from the membership
- Next meeting- April 14 at 1:00 pm ET



SAVRR Council Scope and Objectives

SAVRR stands for the Summit Adult Vaccination Recommendations Review; accordingly, this group is the SAVRR Council.

Because the Summit covers influenza vaccination across the lifespan, the Council will address influenza recommendations across all ages in addition to adult vaccination recommendations.

Objective 1: Provide stakeholder feedback on recommendations

- The Council will serve as a forum—similar to the function that was previously provided by the liaisons to the ACIP—to provide stakeholder feedback to recommendation-developing bodies (currently the medical societies and professional organization who are members of SAVRR) during development and, as needed, after publication.

Objective 2: Support implementation of evidence-based recommendations

- The Council will provide guidance to support effective clinical implementation of evidence-based vaccine recommendations from professional medical societies and ACIP, as appropriate.
- This work includes identifying and clarifying differences among recommendations; highlighting gaps and opportunities for alignment; identifying interventions for the Summit's working groups and its partners; and helping to disseminate clear, practical guidance through the National Adult and Influenza Immunization Summit (NAIIS) communication channels.



SAVRR Council Member Survey Results

February 2026



Member responses

- Responses were received from 12 of the 13 member organizations



Consumer representative (1)

- **100%** agreed that a consumer representative should be added to the Council
- **67%** preferred adding two consumer representatives; one to serve as a member and one to serve as an observer
- Of the proposed groups to invite as consumer representatives:
 - Vaccinate Your Family ranked **#1**
 - Voices for Vaccines ranked **#2**
- **100%** felt the term of the consumer representative/s should be limited



Consumer representative (2)

- **50%** felt the consumer representatives' term should last 12 months and **50%** felt the term should last 24 months
- Additional suggestions included:
 - If we have only one representative, the term should be 24 months; if there are two representatives, the term should be 12 months for the voting member and 24 months for the second organization (one year as an observer and a second year as a voting member)
 - A minimum of 24 months would be needed to get a representative up to speed and able to contribute
 - Consider allowing renewals for up to three terms



Transparency plan – Sharing materials on the website

- **100%** felt the SAVRR Council's **terms of reference** should be posted
- **83%** felt the SAVRR Council **meeting agendas** should be posted
- **92%** felt the **names of observer and voting member organizations** should be posted
- **50%** felt the **names of the SAVRR Council members** should be posted
- **58%** felt the **availability of COIs** of voting Council members should be indicated
- **83%** felt the **COIs of voting members** should be made available upon request



Transparency plan – Meeting proceedings

- Respondents felt that the proceedings of the SAVRR Council meetings should be shared on the NAIIS/SAVRR website in the following formats:
 - Meeting summaries – **92%** of respondents
 - Presentations (if presenter agrees) – **67%** of respondents
 - Detailed meeting minutes – **8%** of respondents
 - No information should be posted - **8%** of respondents



Transparency plan – Meeting format

- Respondents felt the SAVRR Council meetings should be formatted in the following way moving forward:
 - **Ranked #1:** All meetings should be closed, with only periodic meetings open to the public to disseminate information/recommendations
 - **Ranked #2:** A portion of each meeting should be open to the public (with safeguards in place to prevent interruptions), followed by a closed session to allow confidential discussion
 - **Ranked #3:** Meetings should alternate between open and closed formats
 - **Ranked #4:** No meetings should be open to the public
 - **Ranked #5:** All meetings should be open to the public (with safeguards in place to prevent interruptions)



Next steps for the Council



Consumer representatives

- Vaccinate Your Family will be invited to join the Council as a voting member for 12 months
- Voices for Vaccines will be invited to join the Council as an observer for 12 months and then move to a voting member for 12 months



SAVRR Council website

- Once the SAVRR Council website is live, the following information will be posted:
 - Council's terms of reference
 - List of member and observer organizations
 - Statement on COI: "Each member organization nominated an individual to represent them on the council, and these individuals have been screened and documented by the nominating organization before participating."
 - Meeting agendas
 - Meeting summaries



SAVRR Council meeting format

- The Chairs propose that the SAVRR Meetings move to a format of:
 - Monthly closed working group meetings, and
 - Quarterly open meetings (in addition to the monthly meetings)
 - Goal is to provide information to healthcare professionals and the public
 - Schedule first meeting in June 2026
 - Additional open meetings may be scheduled as necessary
 - Work process can be adjusted as needed with input from the Council



Thank you!

